

their sales tax on all their purchases. We have eliminated their income taxes for the next 15 years. If that isn't enough to entice them, I don't think the state can afford any more. I oppose this amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Elmer, please.

SENATOR ELMER: Are we on? Thank you, Mr. President, members. Senator Lamb, is he available for a question?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lamb, are you in the Chamber? Negative.

SENATOR ELMER: Okay, Mr. President and members, I rise to say perhaps, on this amendment rather than to be very narrow and limit it just, this amendment to just agriculture, maybe not serving the need we really need to address, perhaps we should say any business, rather than any agricultural business, and I wanted to ask Senator Lamb if instead of just specifying it to be agricultural manufacturing and processing, if he would be...would object to us saying any products, instead of just agricultural products.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, I would have no objection to that. I think Senator Elmer is saying here in the amendment where it says "this business equipment is utilized in a business which is involved directly in the manufacture or processing of agricultural products", and that is narrow, and purposely narrow to make it apply to this situation. Now, I would like to see the amendment adopted as it is. Then if somebody wants to come in with a proposal that broadens that agricultural products exclusion, I would have no objection to that.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Senator Lamb. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. An amendment on the desk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Conway would move to amend the Schmit amendment. (See Conway amendment on page 2197 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Conway, please.